Training as a Means for Improving Staff Nurses' Documentation Skills

Reham Gaber Abd-Elsattar Saker*, Samia Adam**, Rabab Mahmoud Hassan**,

- * M.Sc Nursing Administration Department- Ain Shams University
- ** Nursing Administration Administration Department- Ain Shams University

Abstract

Background: principals of communicating information among healthcare providers are through patient care documentation. Accurate, timely, thorough and concise documentation can be the deciding factor for whether a patient lives or dies. Aim: The present study was aiming at utilizing training as a means for improving staff nurses' documentation skills. Subjects: 63 nurses were included in the study. Setting: The study was conducted at inpatient units at Al Helal hospital. This hospital is affiliated to Ministry of Health Specialized Medical Centers. Methods: three tools were used to collect data for this study. Knowledge assessment questionnaire, an observational checklist, Pre and post-test simple questions according to the title of each session. Results: slightly more than two fifth of staff nurses had high level of knowledge regarding total documentation dimensions in preprogram phase, while all staff nurses had highly knowledge in post phase and slightly decline at follow up phase with highly statistically significant improvement with all the phases of intervention. majority of staff nurses had low total performance level at preprogram phase while four fifth of them had high performance level at post phase and three quarter of them had high performance level after three months of training intervention with highly statistically significant improvement in total documentation performance level with all the phases of intervention. Conclusion: There was highly statistically significant improvement in total staff nurses' documentation knowledge and performance level during post and follow up phase as compared with preprogram phase. This supported the study hypothesis which stated, staff nurses documentation skills will be improved after implementing the training intervention. Recommendation: dissemination of manual for new staff nurses contains documentation skills. Dissemination of information and research finding should be done online to improve its utilization.

Key words: Documentation skills, Staff Nurses, Training

Introduction

Communication really can be the difference in healthcare outcomes: accurate, timely and effective communication can reduce patient suffering and improve

teamwork that in turn improves the working environment for nurses(Riley, 2017).

Nurseshave a professional responsibility to document care planning, actual care provided and patient outcomes. Documentation is any written or

electronically generated information about a patient that describes the care provided to that patient and offers an accurate account of what occurred and when it occurred, nurses use documentation to communicate all interactions with patients including, intervention, evaluations and outcomes of care. Documentation is maintained in a health record which may include paper or electronic documentations such as electronic medical records, faxes, e-mails, audio or video records and images (Murray, 2017).

Nurses are accountable to document patient data that precisely reflects nursing assessment. planning, intervention/ implementation, and evaluation of the patient's condition. Quality of care, standards of regulatory agencies and nursing practices and legal guidelines make documentation and reporting an extremely responsibility important of nurse (Theodore, 2015).

Accurate documentation is one of the best defenses for legal claims associated with nursing care. To limit nursing liability, documentation must clearly indicate that individualized, goal directed nursing care was provided to a patient based on a nursing assessment. Although nursing care may have been excellent, in a court of law, "care not documented is care not provided" (Perry, et al., 2016).

Effective documentation provides a foundation for demonstrating nursing's valuable contributions to patient outcomes as well as to the organizations that provide and support quality patient care. According to the ANA (2011b), documentation is critical to the nursing profession in the following areas: communication within the healthcare team and with other professionals credentialing, as regulation. legislation, reimbursement, research, quality process and performance improvement (Murray, 2017).

Aims of the study:

This study was aimed at utilizing training as a means for improving staff nurses' documentation skills through:

- Assessing nurses' knowledge regarding documentation.
- Audit nurses' documentation.
- Developing the educational program based on staff nurses' training needs.
- Implementing the developed program.
- Evaluating the effect of the program on staff nurses documentation.

Research hypothesis:

Staff nurses documentation skills will be improved after implementing the training intervention.

Subjects and Methods:

I- Technical design

Research design:

A quasi-experimental design (one group pretest-posttest design) was used in carrying out this study.

The study setting

The study was conducted at Al Helal Hospital. It is affiliated to Ministry of Health Specialized Medical Centers.

Subjects

Subjects included in the study were 63 staff nurses. They are working in the inpatient units.

Tools of data collection

Data were collected for this study using three tools these were namely,

pre/post-test knowledge assessment questionnaire, auditing checklist of patients' records, These tools were used three times through the study intervention phases and (pre/post test) this tool evaluation questionnaire for evaluating intervention.

- 1- Self administered Questionnaire sheet: this tool was aimed to identify staff nurses perceptions towards documentation skills. It was adopted from(Estes, 2009; Abd El-Kader, 2013; Perry, et al., 2014). It includes two parts
- a- The first Part: Contains socio-demographic data of samples' subjects as; (age, educational qualification, years of experience, and previous training program). It was developed by the researcher.
- b- The second Part: It consists of(25) questions multiple-choice questions. The questions categorized into seven dimensions, communication, accuracy, consistency, signature, timing, confidentiality and brevity.

Scoring system

Each question was assigned a score of "1" if correct answer and "0" if incorrect answer. The maximum possible total score was twenty five. The scores of the items were summed up and the total divided by the number of items giving a mean score for this part. Knowledge percent score was then converted to knowledge levels (Elsayed, 2013), (Saad, 2014) as the following table.

Table (1) Scoringsystem forSelf-administered Questionnaire sheet

Knowledge levels	percent score
High	>75.0%
Moderate	60.0%-75.0%
Low	<u>≤</u> 60.0%

2- Auditing Checklist of patients' records was developed by the researcher through review of related

literature (Abo El-Hassan, 2004; Abd El-Kader, 2013 and Perry, et al. 2014) to assess the actual performance of staff nurses regarding documentation.It was developed in Englishlanguage,it was filled by the researcher it consists of two parts:

Part one this part was intended to collect data regarding staff nurses' code number, working unit, date and time of data collection and part two was intended to collect data about the staff nurses' documentation performance. This concurrent audit checklist was contained eight dimensions involve 57 items represent the documentation skills

Scoring system:

Performance scores were from "1" to "zero" for done/not done, respectively. The maximum possible total score was (fifty seven). All items related to certain dimension are summed up and a mean score is calculated for each dimension, the total mean score of the observational checklist was calculated by summing up the score for all dimensions. Practice percent score was then converted to performance levels as follows:

Table (2): Scoringsystem for audit checklist sheet.

Nurses performance level	Scored percent
High	> 75.0%
Moderate	60 -75.0%
Low	<60 %

Ouestionnaire sheet to evaluate interventionA self-administered questionnaire sheets, were developed and constructed by the researcher based review of related literature 2012: (Oppenheim, Gillingham. **&Seibel**, **2014**), it was applied to evaluate intervention throughout intervention progress. It was done to make sure that intervention on track.

II- Operational design

1-Preparatory phase

This stage started from November 2015 till June 2016. The researcher reviewed national, international, current related literature, and using text books, articles, journals, and theses concerning the topic of the study. Based on this review, the researcher began to develop the first tool of staff nurses' documentation skills knowledge assessment questionnaire and prepared it in the preliminary form. Next develop the second tool of staff nurses' documentation skills that auditing checklist for patients' records.

Tools validity:

After the construction of data collection tools, two types of validity tests were used in this stage, face validity and content validity. The validity of the tools was judged by nine jury members having experience in nursing administration and medical surgical nursing from Cairo University and Ain shams University. Based on jury recommendations necessary modifications, corrections, addition and/or omission of some items were done by the researcher.

Tools reliability:

The reliability test was done to assure the consistency, determine how strongly the attributes were related to each other and to the composite score. The reliability test was used in this stage for two tools for data collection using Cronbach's' Alpha test.It was **0.897** for knowledge. It was **0.967** for skills.

2- Pilot study:

A pilot study was started in July 2016, to examine the applicability, clarity of

language, test the feasibility and suitability of the designated tools, estimate the time needed to complete the tools. The time consumed in answering the knowledge assessment questionnaire ranged from 30-40 minutes. The time consumed to fill in auditing checklist by the researcher was 40-50 minutes in the time of patient's care.

Field work:

Data collection of the study was started at the beginning of August 2016, and was completed by the end of August 2017. The study was conducted through five phases **Phase I**(preliminary), the researcher met the staff nurses to explain the purpose and the benefits of the study. Knowledge questionnaire sheet was distributed to nurses. It started in first week of August 2016 and took about two weeks. The researcher audited nurses' performance during morning and afternoon shifts. audit was done from third week of August 2016 to last week of September 2016 (before program implementation).

Phase II (program planning): the content of the training program was developed based on literature review. The researcher began to design and construct the nursing documentation training program to be appropriate for implementation. Different instructional strategies were selected to suit the learner's needs, and achieve the objectives and contents of the program.

Phase III (program implementation): the program was implemented to the staff nurses working in the study setting, from December 2016 till February 2017. Staff nurses were divided into five groups. The program sessions were; communication skills, documentation and documentation skills, recording and reporting, training to document in nursing formats as: nursing assessment, vital signs, pain assessment, diabetic chart, nursing care plan, medication chart, bed sores, nursing progress, blood transfusion, health education. Different teaching methods and educational media were used.

Phase IV (post program evaluation): The researcher evaluated the effect of the documentation skills program on staff nurses' knowledge and practice to determine how the program goals and objectives were achieved. A post-test was done immediately after program implementation during February and March 2017, by using the same data collection tools as in the pre planning phase.

Phase V (follow-up): follow up test was repeated three months after post intervention evaluation during July and August 2017, by using the same data collection tools concerning a knowledge questionnaire and audit checklist to assess the long-term effects of the program.

Ethical considerations:

Prior to conducting the pilot study approval was obtained from the Scientific Ethical Committee at the Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University. The approval was obtained in a written consent from each nurse who agreed to participate in the study and the researcher informed them that the collected data will be treated confidentially, anonymously and will be used only for research purposes. Each nurse was also informed about having the right to withdraw from the study at any time, without giving any reason.

III- Administrative design

An official letter was issued from the faculty of nursing, Ain Shams University, to obtain permission from the Director of Al Helal Hospital to collect the data for the study.

IV- Statistical design

Data were analyzed and tabulated using the computer Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS). The tests used in the study were mean, standard deviation. Chi-Square test and P value were used to estimate the statistical significant differences.

Results:

Table (1) shows that more than two fifth (42.9%) of the study subjects had age ranged from 25-<35 years. Majority of study subjects are females and had nursing school diploma 95.2%, 81.0% respectively. More than half (52.4%) of study subjects had experience ranged between 10-20 years. More than half (52.4%) of study subjects didn't attend training program about documentation while more than one fifth (20.6%) of them attended training program about recording and reporting.

Table (2)reveals that, more than half of study subjects have low knowledge (65.1%, 61.9%, 63.5%, 58.7%) about communication, timing, confidentiality and concise documentation dimensions in pre program phase. While all nurses have high knowledge about accuracy, continuity and signature in post program phase. But majority of study subjects have high knowledge (93.7%, 93.7%, 90.5%, 93.7%) about accuracy, continuity, signature and timing in follow up program phase. There is statistically significant highly improvement in nurses' knowledge as regard documentation throughout intervention phases during post program and follow up when compared with pre program (p<0.01).

Table (3) reveals that. total documentation knowledge level of staff nurses slightly more than two fifth (41.3%) of them have high level of knowledge regarding documentation dimensions in preprogram, while an improvement (100%) in post program and slightly decline (96.8%) at follow up. while there are highly statistically significant improvement in staff nurses knowledge related documentation dimensions during post program and follow up phase as compared with preprogram phase.

Table (4) shows that, total documentation knowledge percent score in preprogram 67.81, highly increase in post program reached to 99.17. It was 94.79 in

follow up phase, while there are highly statistical improvements in total staff nurses' documentation knowledge during post program and slightly decline in follow up phase as compared with preprogram phase (p < 0.01).

Table (5) reveals that, No one of study subject (0.0%) have high Performance level in preprogram phase to follow accuracy in documentation while more than two third (71.4%) of study subject have high performance level in post program phase and about two third (63.5%) of them have high performance level in follow up for the dimension. there are same highly statistically significant improvement in staff nurses performance related documentation dimensions during post program and follow up phase as compared with preprogram phase.

Table (6)demonstrates that no one of staff nurses has high level in total

documentation performance before the program and enhancement in post program slightly less than four fifth (79.4%) are high level, while slightly less than three fourth(74.6%) staff nurseshas high total documentation performance level, there are highly statistically significant improvement in total documentation performance level during post program while there was slightly decline in follow up phase as compared with preprogram phase (p < 0.01).

Table (7) shows that, total performance percent score in preprogram 34.73, highly increase in post program reached to 82.29. It was 81.09 in follow up phase, while there are highly statistical improvements in total staff nurses' documentation performance percent score during post program and slightly decline in follow up phase as compared with preprogram phase (p < 0.01).

Table (1): demographic & job Characteristics of the studied staff nurses (n=63)

Characteristics of th	Characteristics of the studied staff nurses					
	Less than 25 years	11	17.5%			
Age	25-35 years	27	42.9%			
	More than 35 years	25	39.7%			
Gender	Male	3	4.8%			
Gender	Female	60	95.2%			
	Nursing Diploma	51	81.0%			
Qualification	Technical Nursing Institute Diploma	11	17.5%			
	Bachelor degreein Nursing	1	1.6%			
	Less than 10 years	15	23.8%			
Experience	10-20 years	33	52.4%			
	More than 20 years	15	23.8%			
Training about	Yes	30	47.6%			
documentation	No	33	52.4%			
Training about recording &	Yes	13	20.6%			
reporting	No	50	79.4%			

Table (2): Staff nurses' level of knowledge regarding documentation dimensions throughout intervention phases $(n\!=\!63)$

			Int	erve	Chi					
Documentation I	Dimensions	Pre			Post	Fol	low up	square	P-value	
		No	%	No	%	No	%	test		
	Low	41	65.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Communication	Moderate	15	23.8%	3	4.8%	13	20.6%	130.667	0.000**	
	High	7	11.1%	60	95.2%	50	79.4%			
	Low	19	30.2%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%			
Accuracy	Moderate	19	30.2%	0	0.0%	3	4.8%	80.550	0.000**	
	High	25	39.7%	63	100.0%	59	93.7%			
	Low	18	28.6%	0	0.0%	4	6.3%		0.000**	
Continuity	Moderate	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	27.573		
	High	45	71.4%	63	100.0%	59	93.7%			
	Low	16	25.4%	0	0.0%	6	9.5%		0.000**	
Signature	Moderate	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20.165		
	High	47	74.6%	63	100.0%	57	90.5%			
	Low	39	61.9%	2	3.2%	4	6.3%			
Timing	Moderate	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	75.775	0.000**	
	High	24	38.1%	61	96.8%	59	93.7%			
	Low	40	63.5%	1	1.6%	9	14.3%			
Confidentiality	Moderate	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	69.237	0.000**	
	High	23	36.5%	62	98.4%	54	85.7%			
	Low	37	58.7%	4	6.3%	8	12.7%			
Concise	Moderate	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	53.614	0.000**	
	High	26	41.3%	59	93.7%	55	87.3%			

^(**) Highly statistically significant at P<0.01

Table (3): Total staff nurses' level of knowledge regarding documentation throughout intervention phases (n=63)

Documentation Dimensions			Iı	Chi					
		Pre		Post		Follow up		square	P-value
		No	%	No	%	No	%	test	
	Low	22	34.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	83.956	0.000**
Total Documentation Knowledge level	Moderate	15	23.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%		
	High	26	41.3%	63	100.0%	61	96.8%		

^(**) Highly statistically significant at P<0.01

Table (4): Comparison between Staff nurses' knowledge percent scores regarding Dimensions reflecting documentation throughout intervention phases

			Interventi	on phases			Paired		Paired	
Dimensions	Pr	·e	Po	st	Follo	w up	t-test	P-value	t-test	P-value
	Mean%	+ SD	Mean%	<u>+</u> SD	Mean%	+ SD	(1)		(2)	
Communication	57.94	<u>+</u> 22.37	98.81	<u>+</u> 5.37	94.84	<u>+</u> 10.20	-14.08	0.000**	-12.14	0.000**
Accuracy	68.45	<u>+</u> 22.66	99.80	<u>+</u> 1.57	95.83	<u>+</u> 8.98	-11.03	0.000**	-8.84	0.000**
Continuity	78.10	<u>+</u> 22.06	99.37	<u>+</u> 3.53	94.92	<u>+</u> 11.34	-7.53	0.000**	-5.05	0.000**
Signature	83.33	<u>+</u> 31.11	100.00	<u>+</u> .00	93.65	<u>+</u> 21.05	-4.252	0.000**	-2.269	0.000**
Timing	61.90	<u>+</u> 34.45	98.41	<u>+</u> 8.84	96.83	<u>+</u> 12.29	-8.879	0.000**	-7.568	0.000**
Confidentiality	54.76	<u>+</u> 39.87	99.21	<u>+</u> 6.30	91.27	<u>+</u> 22.97	-8.550	0.000**	-6.303	0.000**
Concise	62.70	<u>+</u> 35.89	96.83	<u>+</u> 12.29	92.86	<u>+</u> 19.79	-6.610	0.000**	-5.885	0.000**
Total Documentation Knowledge Percent score	67.81	<u>+</u> 16.17	99.17	<u>+</u> 2.40	94.79	<u>+</u> 8.67	15.007	0.000**	- 12.071	0.000**

Paired t-test (1): Difference between pre-program and post program

Paired t-test (2): Difference between preprogram and follow up

^(**) Highly statistically significant at P<0.01

Table (5): Staff nurses' level of performance regarding documentation throughout intervention phases $(n\!=\!63)$

			Int	erver	ntion pha	ses		Chi	
Dimensio	ons		Pre]	Post	Fol	low up	square	P-value
_		No	%	No	%	No	%	test	
I Aggunggy in	Low	59	93.7%	10	15.9%	6	9.5%		
I- Accuracy in documentation	Moderate	4	6.3%	8	12.7%	17	27.0%	121.794	0.000**
documentation	High	0	0.0%	45	71.4%	40	63.5%		
Ia-	Low	23	36.5%	3	4.8%	4	6.3%		
Documentation	Moderate	22	34.9%	5	7.9%	4	6.3%	66.597	0.000**
in vital sign chart	High	18	28.6%	55	87.3%	55	87.3%		
Ib-	Low	32	50.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Documentation	Moderate	24	38.1%	5	7.9%	2	3.2%	135.406	0.000**
in medication chart	High	7	11.1%	58	92.1%	61	96.8%		
Ic-	Low	56	88.9%	9	14.3%	13	20.6%		0.000**
Documentation	Moderate	7	11.1%	18	28.6%	16	25.4%	92.341	
in nurses notes sheet	High	0	0.0%	36	57.1%	34	54.0%		
Id-	Low	52	82.5%	15	23.8%	20	31.7%		0.000**
Documentation in	Moderate	6	9.5%	5	7.9%	6	9.5%	57.370	
nursing care plan sheet	High	5	7.9%	43	68.3%	37	58.7%		
II /D: •	Low	62	98.4%	17	27.0%	16	25.4%		
II- Timing Dimension	Moderate	1	1.6%	12	19.0%	11	17.5%	87.936	0.000**
Difficusion	High	0	0.0%	34	54.0%	36	57.1%		
III Cionotuno	Low	62	98.4%	17	27.0%	16	25.4%		
III- Signature Dimension	Moderate	1	1.6%	12	19.0%	11	17.5%	87.936	0.000**
Difficusion	High	0	0.0%	34	54.0%	36	57.1%		
IV-	Low	52	82.5%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%		
Confidentiality,	Moderate	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Conciseness &	High	11	17.5%	62	98.4%	62	98.4%	134.867	0.000**
Permanence	Moderate	3	4.8%	8	12.7%	12	19.0%		
Dimension	High	0	0.0%	50	79.4%	47	74.6%		

^(**) Highly statistically significant at P<0.01

Table (6): Total staff nurses' level of performance regarding documentation throughout intervention phases (n=63)

			In	Chi					
Dimensions		Pre		Post		Follow up		square	P-value
			%	No	%	No	%	test	
Total	Low	60	95.2%	5	7.9%	4	6.3%		
Documentation	Moderate	3	4.8%	8	12.7%	12	19.0%	143.248	0.000**
Performance level	High	0	0.0%	50	79.4%	47	74.6%		

^(**) Highly statistically significant at P<0.01

Table (7): Comparison between staff nurses' performance percent scores regarding dimensions reflecting documentation throughout intervention phases

]	Interventi	on phases	S		Paired		Paired	
Dimensions	Pr	e	Po	st	Follo	w up	t-test	P-value	t-test	P-value
	Mean%	<u>+</u> SD	Mean%	<u>+</u> SD	Mean%	<u>+</u> SD	(1)		(2)	
I- Accuracy in documentation	30.65	<u>+</u> 15.82	80.85	<u>+</u> 17.64	80.16	<u>+</u> 15.50	- 18.404	0.000**	- 21.096	0.000**
II-Documentation in vital sign chart	59.13	<u>+</u> 39.48	94.05	<u>+</u> 19.42	93.65	<u>+</u> 20.06	-6.096	0.000**	-5.944	0.000**
III-Documentation in medication chart	58.38	<u>+</u> 13.82	87.83	<u>+</u> 9.72	88.01	<u>+</u> 8.54	- 16.708	0.000**	- 17.010	0.000**
IV-Documentation in nurses notes sheet	26.79	<u>+</u> 20.06	80.36	<u>+</u> 19.92	76.39	<u>+</u> 22.12	- 15.870	0.000**	13.334	0.000**
V- Documentation in nursing care plan sheet	22.22	<u>+</u> 31.68	75.66	<u>+</u> 39.35	66.14	<u>+</u> 44.60	-9.507	0.000**	-6.918	0.000**
VI- Timing Dimension	15.87	<u>+</u> 17.05	74.87	<u>+</u> 20.05	74.60	<u>+</u> 22.97	- 17.847	0.000**	- 15.136	0.000**
VII- Signature Dimension	15.87	<u>+</u> 17.05	74.87	<u>+</u> 20.05	74.60	<u>+</u> 22.97	17.847	0.000**	- 15.136	0.000**
VIII- Confidentiality, Conciseness & Permanence Dimension	51.11	<u>+</u> 20.57	92.38	<u>+</u> 10.43	93.65	<u>+</u> 12.86	12.785	0.000**	13.228	0.000**
Total Performance Percent Score	34.73	<u>+</u> 11.84	82.29	<u>+</u> 11.94	81.09	<u>+</u> 13.25	- 24.073	0.000**	- 23.018	0.000**

Paired t-test (1): Difference between pre-program and post program Paired t-test (2): Difference between pre-program and follow up

Discussion:

Documentation in patient file should be accurate, brief and complete. When documentation follows these principals, it presents a photographic view of the patient to anyone who reads the nursing notes. Be specific and definite in using words or phrases that convey the meaning the nurse wish expressed, document the patient behavior, words that have ambiguous meanings and slang should not be used in documentation (Williams, 2018). Nurse's documentation must indicate that the care provided to a patient was within acceptable standards of practice and in accordance with

^(**) Highly statistically significant at P<0.01

regulatory mandates.(Grant & Ballard, 2018).

The present study aimed at utilizing training as a means for improving staff nurses' documentation skills through assessing nurses' knowledge regarding documentation, nurses' audit documentation, developing and implementing the developed program then evaluating the effect of the program on staff nurses documentation by direct observation for activities performed by staff nurses working at Al-Helal Hospital. Results of this study revealed that there is a highly statistically significant improvement in nurses' knowledge and performance as regard documentation skills at immediately post-program and follow up intervention when compared with pre-program intervention. So, that reflects improvement staff nurses' knowledge and performance of documentation skills after training program intervention.

The study findings are in agreement with Safey El- Din (2012) who showed that, there were high statistically significant difference of the mean scores of the documentation knowledge and skills before the program audit and all the other periods of assessment. The nurses' documentation knowledge and skills was improved after implementation of the program at all periods of assessment. There was a statistically significant difference of the mean scores of the nurses' documentation skills immediately after implementation of the skills.

Concerning total staff nurses' documentation knowledge result of the present study showed that, slightly more than two fifth of them had high level of knowledge regarding total documentation dimensions in preprogram phase, while all staff nurses had highly knowledge in post phase and slightly decline at follow up phase with highly statistically significant improvement in all phases of intervention. That means improvement of nurses' level of knowledge after implementation

program. This might be due to the documentation skills program included effective ways to learn new information. Also, feel a sense of responsibility toward patient records.

Similar finding was reported by Safan(2007), El-Ghwab(2013) and Abdo (2014) who mentioned that nurses knowledge regarding nursing documentation was un acceptable level. While majority of nurses were highly aware of documentation at immediately post and follow up after three months of program.

on the same line Safey Al Din (2012)mentioned that about two third of the study nurses inadequately documentation knowledge before implementation of the program while there was adequate documentation knowledge by the study nurses immediately after and three months after implementation of the program. Similar findings were reported by Ali (2013) and Vasseur (2015) reported the majority of nurses acquired information about nursing documentation through training courses attended in hospital.

In this regard **Huber** (2018) highlighted when making decisions nurses know that they are responsible for accurate documentation and that they must protect the patient from adverse events; however, they must also protect themselves by showing fully what actions they took in response to a change in the patient's status.

Regarding nurses' Performance levels of documentation throughout the intervention phasesthe present study findings stated that majority of staff nurses had low total performance level at preprogram phase while about four fifth of them had high performance level at post phase and about three quarter of them had high performance level after three months intervention training with statistically significant improvement in total documentation performance level through all the phases of intervention. This supported the study hypothesis which stated, staff nurses documentation skills will be improved after implementing the training intervention. This improvement might be due to the documentation skills program included effective way to learn how to document effectively, and training the staff nurses to follow the documentation skills on forms during practical session researcher correct any mistakes for trainee and gave him ther feedback immediately about their performance. Also, gain the knowledge during program regarding effective communication, documentation skills, recording and reporting and their importance for patient, hospital healthcare team that lead to feel the staff nurses with responsibility to perform complete and accurate documentation.

The study finding is congruent with Rvell (2002)denoted that the findings showed a significant score increase in nursing documentation directly after the intervention. Similar findings were reported by BjoÈ rvell et al. (2002) found that the documentation of nursing increased in quality, as compared with the audit before the intervention. Also, these consistent with Safan (2007) who found a satisfactory improvement level in medical and surgical departments, as well as in special units after the implementation of the self-learning package, compared to pre-intervention period. On the same line with Patiraki et al. (2015) who mentioned that intervention has demonstrated positive results improving knowledge and attitudes documentation and nursing process.

These findings were in accordance Gerdin (2017) highlighted with limitations in nurses' knowledge, skill level, importance and understanding documentation is reason noted to explain incomplete and deficient documentation for skilled nurses. Nurses are the solution to address this problem, but they require training and development in clinical documentation to comply with documentation guidelines.

Hence, there was highly statistically significant improvement in total staff nurses' documentation knowledge and performance level during post and follow up phases as compared with preprogram phase. This supported the study hypothesis which stated, staff nurses documentation skills will be improved after implementing the training intervention.

Conclusion:

There was highly statistically significant improvement in total staff nurses' documentation knowledge and performance level during post and follow up phase as compared with preprogram phase. This supported the study hypothesis which stated, staff nurses documentation skills will be improved after implementing the training intervention.

Recommendations:

Establishing electronic record systems to support nurses for improving the accuracy of their documentation.

Nursing documentation must be covered widely and in-depth in nursing curriculum of nursing schools.

Continuous training programs or sessions must emphasize on all aspects of nursing documentation.

Orientation program for all newly nurses and in services training program for experienced nurses about documentation.

References:

Abd El-kader, H.A. (2013): Factors affecting nurses performance regarding documentation in surgical units, unpublished Master Thesis, Faculty of Nursing Ain Shams University, Egypt.

Abdo, B. (**2014**): The relationship between quality of nursing documentation system and continuity of patient care in

- medicine Hospital at Cairo University Hospitals, unpublished MasterThesis, Cairo University, Egypt.
- Abo El-Hassan,N. (2004): Nurse interns Acquisition of communication skills: The Effect of Two Teaching Methods, Doctorate Thesis, Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University.
- Ali, M. (2013):Evaluating The effect of internal quality management system on quality of recording system among nursing personal, unpublished Master Thesis, Faculty of Nursing Benha University, Egypt.
- BjoÈ rvell, C., Wredling, R., & Ekstrand, T. (2002): Long-term increase in quality of nursing documentation: effects of a comprehensive intervention, Nordic College of Caring Sciences, Scand J Caring Sci., 16 Original Article Accessed on: 23/1/2018 11.00 pm Available at: http://www.nejm.org/medical-articles/original-article
- **El-Ghwab, F.(2013):** Quality of nursing documentation in intensive care units in selected Hospitals at Port Said Sity, Master Thesis, Faculty of Nursing Port Said University, Egypt, PP. 120: 140
- Elsayed, M. (2013): The effect of implementing SBAR shift report on quality of reporting of patient care, Doctorate Thesis, Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University.
- Estes, M. (2009): USA: How do nurses record Pedagogical activities? Nurses' documentation in patient records in a cardiac rehabilitation unit for patients who have undergone coronary artery bypass surgery. Journal of Clinical Nursing 16: Accessed on: 15/1/2016 7.00 pm Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17880479

- **Gerdin, J.(2017):**Health careers today, (6th ed.), Elsevier, Inc.: China. p.37
- Gillingham, E., & Seibel, M. (2014): LaFleur brooks' health unit coordinating, 7th ed., Saunders, an imprint of Elsevier, Inc.: USA., PP. 128: 132
- **Grant, P., & Ballard, D.(2018):** Law for nurse leaders, 2nd ed., Springer Publishing Company, LLC.: New York. P. 77.
- **Huber, D.** (2018): Leadership and nursing care management, 6th ed., Elsevier, Inc.: China. pp. 65.
- Murray, E. (2017): Nursing leadership and management: for patient safety and quality care, F. A., Davis Company, USA. pp. 20-90 221: 224.
- **Oppenheim, E. (2012):** The medical record as evidence, , ATOM Press, Washington, pp. 10-200
- Patiraki, E., atsaragakis, S., reliozi, A., & Prezerakos, P. (2015): Nursing care plans based on NANDA, nursing interventions classification, and nursing outcomes classification: investigation of the effectiveness of an educational intervention in Greece, NANDA International. Inc.: International Journal of Nursing Knowledge, 28, 2, Accessed on: 11/12/2017 12.15 am Available at:http://onlinelibrary. wiley.com/doi/10.1111/2047-3095.12120/pdf
- Perry, A., Potter, P., & Ostendorf, W., (2014):Clinical nursing skills and techniques, 8th ed., Mosby, Inc.: Elsevier, Inc.: Canada. pp. 50-53
- Perry, A., Potter, P., & Ostendorf, W. (2016): Nursing interventions & clinical skills, 6th ed., Elsevier, Inc.: USA. pp. 37-40

- Riley, J. (2017): Communication in nursing, (8th ed.), Elsevier, Inc.: USA. pp. 196-203
- Rvell, C. (2002): Long-term increase in quality of nursing documentation: effects of a comprehensive intervention, Department of Nursing, Karolinska Institutet, Cross College of Nursing and Health, Stockholm. pp. 34 -39Accessed on: 26/11/2017 9.30 pm. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11985747
- **Saad, E. (2014):** Effect of an educational program about intradepartmental communication among nurses on nurses' empowerment, Doctorate thesis, Faculty of Nursing, Benha University, Egypt.
- **Safan, S. (2007):** Self learning package as a mean for achieving quality nursing documentation, unpublished Doctorate Thesis, Shebien El-kom University, Menofia University, Egypt.

- Safey Al Din, D.,(2012): Effect of a comprehensive nursing documentation training program to staff nurses on their documentation skills, unpublished Doctorate Thesis, Cairo university Hospitals, Faculty of Nursing, Cairo University, Egypt.
- **Theodore, D. (2015):** Textbook of mental health nursing, Reed Elsevier India Private Limited, India. pp. 139-151.
- Vasseur, E. (2015): Evaluation of new graduate nurses' physical assessment and documentation skills using simulation, debriefing, and a nurse transition program, Northern Kentucky University, Highland Heights, Kentucky, ProQuest LLC., UMI 3707332, United States Code, USA. pp. 12-48
- Williams, P. (2018):DeWit's fundamental concepts and skills for nursing, 5th ed., Elsevier, Inc.: China. pp. 84-95